

Egg attendance behaviour of an Amazonian poison frog *Ameerega hahneli* (Anura: Dendrobatidae)

YULFREILER GARAVITO-DAVID¹, ESTEFANY CAROLINE GUEVARA-MOLINA²
& JUAN C. DIAZ-RICAURTE^{1,3,4*}

¹Semillero de Investigación en Ecofisiología y Biogeografía de Vertebrados, Grupo de investigación en Biodiversidad y Desarrollo Amazónico (BYDA), Programa de Biología, Universidad de la Amazonia, Florencia, Caquetá, Colombia

²Departamento de Fisiologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

³Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

⁴Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia Aplicada, Universidade de São Paulo, Piracicaba, Brazil

*Corresponding author e-mail: juan.diaz@usp.br

The neotropical family Dendrobatidae includes nearly 200 species known as ‘poison frogs’ (Frost, 2020). Poison frogs lay their eggs in arboreal nests, e.g. leaves on bushes, leaf litter and phytotelmata (Grant et al., 2006; Lötters et al., 2007; Stynoski et al., 2015). After oviposition, the parents attend and take care of the eggs during development until the tadpoles hatch (Weygoldt, 1987; Grant et al., 2006). Once they hatch, the adult male or female will typically transport the tadpoles on their backs to a location where each tadpole will complete metamorphosis (Grant et al., 2006; Pyron & Wiens, 2011; Hime et al., 2020). For several dendrobatid species, information on phylogenetic relationships (e.g. Pyron & Wiens, 2011; Grant et al., 2017), ecology (Toft, 1995), natural history (Haddad & Martins, 1994), and behaviour (Hödl et al., 2004; Pašukonis et al., 2014) has been

well-documented. However, detailed information on egg attendance and parental care is still scarce for most species. Here we describe our observations on egg attendance of an Amazonian species of poison frog, *Ameerega hahneli* (Boulenger, 1884).

Between 14 and 19 May 2020, we monitored the egg attendance behaviour of a single male *A. hahneli* in a relictual forest in the municipality San José del Fragua, Caquetá, Colombia (1.352876, -75.971376, WGS84; 466 m a.s.l.; Fig.1). We observed clutch attendance during the night using red light to reduce disturbance. On 14 May 2020 at 19:17 h, we observed a male of *A. hahneli* attending an egg mass, which was composed of 22 eggs containing tadpoles (Fig. 2A), deposited on the surface of a leaf at 50 cm above the ground. We captured the male to measure its body length




Figure 1. Ecoregions of Colombia, adapted from Dinerstein et al. (2017), showing the location of the study area (black star)

Table 1. A detailed description of egg attendance behaviour of a male of *A. hahneli* over a five-day period

Date	Behaviour	Time	Figure	Observation
15 May	The male was attending the clutch.	07:00 h	2A	-
	The male left the clutch and withdrew from the site.	10:47 h		-
	The male returned to the base of the plant where the clutch was located and remained for 41 minutes.	13:14 h	2B	-
	The male left the clutch again and returned at 16:56 h, perching on a leaf one meter away from the clutch.	14:15 – 16:56 h		During that time, it emitted a territorial call composed of five notes (see Rodríguez & Duellman, 1994). Other individuals of <i>A. hahneli</i> also began to vocalise. Afterwards, the male jumped three times and stopped calling.
	The male positioned itself at the base of the plant where the clutch was located and stopped calling.	17:52 h		-
	The male was quiet for five minutes on another leaf near the clutch and called back for four minutes.	18:12 h		The male began to climb the plant (see video, YouTube , 2020).
	The male moved several times, touched the clutch, and the tadpoles began to move quickly for approximately one minute and male turned again.	18:22 h		We continued monitoring until 22:00 h.
16 May	The male was not attending the clutch.	09:00 h	-	We also did not see the male or other individuals of this species around the study site.
	The same individual of <i>A. hahneli</i> approached the base of the plant where the clutch was located.	14:45 – 15:46 h	-	-
	The male started to climb another branch and made several movements as it climbed.	15:48 h	-	-
	It started to rain and the male took refuge at the base of a leaf.	16:02 – 16:40 h	-	-
	The male started calling.	16:41 h	-	-
	The male emitted a courtship call composed of three notes (see Rodríguez & Duellman, 1994).	16:49 h	-	-
	The male started calling again at intervals.	16:59 – 18:05 h	-	-
	The male continued climbing towards the clutch.	18:07 h	-	-
	The male jumped to the leaf where the clutch was located.	18:10 h	-	The male turned its body in several directions but always stayed next to the clutch.
	The male placed its belly on the clutch and the tadpoles began to move quickly.	18:25 h	-	Three minutes later, we captured the male and corroborated with the photo marking that it was the same individual that was attending the clutch the previous day.
	The male continued attending the eggs.	22:00 h	-	We continued monitoring.
17-19 May	The egg attendance behaviour was repetitive for three more days until the tadpoles hatched.		2C	Only on 17 May did the male not attend the clutch site.

with a digital caliper (SVL = 24 mm). Also, we took ventral and dorsolateral photographs as a non-invasive method for individual identification (Díaz-Ricaurte et al., 2019). After measurement, the male was released next to the clutch where he remained and we monitored egg attendance behaviour for five days until tadpoles hatched from the eggs (Table 1). Our observations suggest that the male left the clutch at dawn to forage during the day and returned to attend the eggs in the afternoon and during the night. When the male returned to attend the eggs, it emitted territorial and courtship calls composed of five and three notes, respectively (see Rodríguez & Duellman, 1994; Twomey & Brown, 2008); and most calls were emitted at sunset.

Egg attendance is one of the modes of amphibian parental care that enhances the chances of survival (Trivers, 1972). This behaviour benefits offspring by protecting them from predation and dehydration (Weygoldt, 1987), and has been documented in other lineages (e.g. Kluge, 1981; Juncá, 1996; Bickford, 2004; Delia et al., 2013 & 2020). For poison frogs who attend their eggs during development, the final step is the transportation of tadpoles to water shortly after hatching (Weygoldt, 1987). Unfortunately, we did not observe the moment of hatching nor the male taking the tadpoles to water. We think that the male transported them on his back as previously observed in other individuals of the same species (Fouquet, 2003; Lötters et al., 2007).



Figure 2. Egg attendance behaviour by a male of *A. hahneli* - **A.** Male in attendance of the egg mass, **B.** Male returning to the plant where the clutch was located; **C.** Remains of the egg mass, tadpoles hatched and male departed

We offer a description of a detailed observation of egg attendance in *A. hahneli* showing that males of this species offer partial egg attendance until hatching, corroborating previous findings of Fouquet (2003) and Lötters et al., (2007). An experimental evaluation of the survival of embryos during development with or without parental attendance would be of interest in determining the value of this behaviour.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Autoridad Nacional de Licencias Ambientales (ANLA) for the permit to collect frogs (permit #2016018668-1-000; COL0071015). This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – Brasil (CAPES) – Finance Code 001”. Finally, we thank Emma Kimberly and Filipe Serrano for editing the grammar and writing of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

Bickford, D.P. (2004). Differential parental care behaviors of arboreal and terrestrial microhylid frogs from Papua New Guinea. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 55: 402–409.

Delia, J.R., Ramírez-Bautista, A. & Summers, K. (2013). Parents adjust care in response to weather conditions and egg dehydration in a Neotropical glassfrog. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 67: 557–569.

Díaz-Ricaurte, J.C., Guevara-Molina, E.C. & Serrano, F. (2019). Oviposition site preference and reproductive ecology of *Teratohyla midas* (Anura: Centrolenidae) in the Colombian Amazon. *Journal of Natural History* 53: 1811–1822.

Delia, J.R., Bravo-Valencia, L. & Warkentin, K.M. (2020). The evolution of extended parental care in glassfrogs: Do

egg-clutch phenotypes mediate coevolution between the sexes?. *Ecological Monographs* e01411.

Dinerstein, E., Olson, D., Joshi, A., Vynne, C., Burgess, N. D., Wikramanayake, E., Hahn, N., Palminteri, S., Hedao, P., Noss, R., et al. (2017). An ecoregion-based approach to protecting half the terrestrial realm. *BioScience* 67: 534–545.

Fouquet, A. (2003). Reproduction, territoriality, and vocalization activity in *Epipedobates hahneli* (Amphibia: Anura: Dendrobatidae) in French Guiana [Master's thesis]: University of Poitiers.

Frost, D.R. (2020). Amphibian Species of the World: an Online Reference. Version 6.0. Available from: <http://research.amnh.org/herpetology/amphibia/index.html>. (accessed 17 May 2020).

Grant, T., Frost, D.R., Caldwell, J.P., Gagliardo, R.O.N., Haddad, C.F., Kok, P.J., Means, B.P., Noonan, W.E., Schargel W.E. & Wheeler, W.C. (2006). Phylogenetic systematics of dart-poison frogs and their relatives (Amphibia: Athesphatanura: Dendrobatidae). *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 299: 1–262.

Grant, T., Rada, M., Anganoy-Criollo, M., Batista, A., Dias, P.H., Jeckel, A.M., Machado, D.J. & Rueda-Almonacid, J.V. (2017). Phylogenetic systematics of the dart-poison frogs and their relatives revisited (Anura: Dendrobatoidea). *South American Journal of Herpetology* 12: S1–S90.

Haddad, C.F. & Martins, M. (1994). Four species of Brazilian poison frogs related to *Epipedobates pictus* (Dendrobatidae): taxonomy and natural history observations. *Herpetologica* 50: 282–295.

Hime, P.M., Lemmon, A.R., Lemmon, E.C.M., Prendini, E., Brown, J.M., Thomson, R.C., et al. (2020): Phylogenomics reveals ancient gene tree discordance in the amphibian tree of life. *Systematic Biology* (in press).

Hödl, W., Amézquita, A. & Narins, P.M. (2004). The role of call frequency and the auditory papillae in phonotactic behavior in male dart-poison frogs *Epipedobates femoralis* (Dendrobatidae). *Journal of Comparative Physiology A* 190: 823–829.

Juncá, F.A. (1996): Parental care and egg mortality in *Colostethus stepheni*. *Journal of Herpetology* 30: 292–294.

Kluge, A.G. (1981). The life history, social organization, and parental behavior of *Hyla rosenbergi* Boulenger, a nest-building gladiator frog. *Miscellaneous Publications of the Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan* 160: 1–170.

Lötters, S., Jungfer, K.H., Henkel, F.W. & Schmidt, W. (2007). *Poison frogs. Biology, Species & Captive Husbandry*. Edition Chimaira, Frankfurt am Main, Germany 668 pp.

Pašukonis, A., Loretto, M.C., Landler, L., Ringler, M. & Hödl, W. (2014). Homing trajectories and initial orientation in a Neotropical territorial frog, *Allobates femoralis* (Dendrobatidae). *Frontiers in Zoology* 11: 29.

Pyrón, R.A. & Wiens, J.J. (2011). A large-scale phylogeny of amphibia including over 2800 species, and a revised classification of extant frogs, salamanders, and caecilians. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 61: 543–583.

Rodríguez, L.O. & Duellman, W.E. (1994). *Guide to the Frogs of the Iquitos region, Amazonian Peru*. Asociación de Ecología y Conservación, Amazon Center for

- Environmental Education and Research, and the Natural History Museum, University of Kansas, 80 pp.
- Stynoski, J.L., Schulte, L.M. & Rojas, B. (2015). Poison frogs. *Current Biology* 25: R1026-R1028.
- Toft, C.A. (1995). Evolution of diet specialization in poison-dart frogs (Dendrobatidae). *Herpetologica* 51: 202–216.
- Trivers, R.L. (1974). Parent-offspring conflict. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 14: 249–264.
- Twomey, E. & Brown, J.L. (2008). A partial revision of the *Ameerega hahneli* complex (Anura: Dendrobatidae) and a new cryptic species from the East-Andean versant of Central Peru. *Zootaxa* 1757: 49–65.
- Weygoldt, P. (1987). Evolution of parental care in dart poison frogs (Amphibia: Anura: Dendrobatidae). *Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research* 25(1): 51–67.
- YouTube (2020). Available at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rl8Y9b9f2rw&feature=youtu.be>

Accepted: 26 June 2020