

## Defensive behaviour of the El Rincón stream frog *Pleurodema somuncurens* in Argentina

MELINA A. VELASCO<sup>1\*</sup>, DAIANA P. FERRARO<sup>2</sup> & FEDERICO P. KACOLIRIS<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sección Herpetología, División Zoología Vertebrados, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Universidad Nacional de La Plata - CONICET, La Plata, Argentina


<sup>2</sup>División Limnología, Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales “Bernardino Rivadavia” - CONICET, Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina

\*Corresponding author e-mail: [melinavelasco@conicet.gov.ar](mailto:melinavelasco@conicet.gov.ar)

Anurans exhibit numerous antipredator mechanisms to avoid predation. More than 30 behavioural categories (Kowalski et al., 2018) associated with various morphological and physiological modifications in body size, colour patterns and toxin production are known (Ferreira et al., 2019; Pedroso-Santos et al., 2020) although the most commonly reported are escape behaviours (active escape or fleeing) and death feigning (Toledo et al., 2011).

Reports of these behaviours often come from casual observations of individuals being manipulated in the field or laboratory. However, for more controlled observations there are techniques that can be used to trigger defensive responses in anurans, in particular simulations of predator attack such as hitting gently with sticks or with the forefinger on the head and/or the back (Green, 1988; Chiochio et al., 2022), lightly pinching the head, arms and legs with blunt forceps (Blanchette & Saporito, 2016), by presenting a non-venomous snake to the frog (Villa, 1969; Toledo et al., 2011) or by using predator models (Bulbert et al., 2015).

*Pleurodema* Tschudi, 1838 is a Neotropical genus of leptodactylid frogs that currently includes 15 species. Three of them occur in southern South America (Faivovich et al., 2012; Ferraro, 2022): *P. bufoninum*, *P. somuncurens* and *P. thaul*, all within the *P. thaul* Clade. *Pleurodema bufoninum* and *P. thaul* are distributed in Argentina and Chile’s terrestrial habitats and are categorised as of Least Concern (IUCN, 2016). *Pleurodema somuncurens* is an entirely aquatic microendemic species from the Argentinean Patagonia (Velasco et al., 2016) and is categorised as Critically Endangered (IUCN, 2016). *Pleurodema bufoninum* and *P. thaul* have well-developed lumbar macroglands, while *P. somuncurens* has a slightly protuberant patch of glandular tissue in this region (Ferraro et al., 2013). *Pleurodema bufoninum* and *P. thaul* exhibit deimatic anti-predator behaviour associated with their macroglands (Cei & Espina Aguilera, 1957; Kolenc et al., 2009; Ferraro et al., 2021). Deimatic behaviour includes four movements that the frog displays synchronically (Fig. 1A) – (i) ‘crouching down’ behaviour (Marchisin & Anderson, 1978; Toledo et al., 2011); (ii) rear elevation (Ferreira et al., 2019); (iii) body inflation (Noble, 1931) and (iv) eye-protection (Toledo et



**Figure 1.** A. *Pleurodema bufoninum* showing deimatic behaviour, B. *Pleurodema somuncurens* not displaying deimatic behaviour following an ex situ test attempting to elicit a response

al., 2011). It was not known whether *P. somuncurens* also displayed deimatic behaviour, so we undertook in situ and ex situ experiments with adult and immature *P. somuncurens* to test for this.

## REFERENCES

- The in situ experiment was conducted in January 2015 in the Valcheta stream (Río Negro province, Argentina, 40.98° S, 66.62° W) at night, between 21:00–22:00 h. We captured individuals in their aquatic habitat and placed them on the ground, blocking their path to prevent their escape. Approximately two minutes later we delivered a mechanical stimulus by gently hitting the snout of the frog with a 20 cm stick three times and pressing the stick to the snout for five seconds. We examined 34 individuals of *P. somuncurens*: 13 males, 13 females and eight juveniles (less than 28 mm and without secondary sexual characters). The ex situ experiment was undertaken in 2020 with 30 adult individuals of *P. somuncurens* (without differentiating between males and females) obtained from a survival assurance colony at the Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina. The study was the same as the in situ test but with the following differences: a) the individuals were removed from their aquariums and placed in a tray to prevent them from escaping and b) the testing was done during the day, between 12:00–13:00 h.
- The in situ and ex situ experiments revealed similar results. None of the frogs showed any of the four defensive postures associated with deimatic behaviour, instead the *P. somuncurens* were quiescent or remained motionless (sensu Toledo et al., 2011) (Fig. 1B). However, during the fieldwork in the Valcheta stream (2013–2021), we observed that *P. somuncurens* displayed active escape or fleeing behaviour (Toledo et al., 2011; Ferreira et al., 2019) when approached or when a flashlight was shined on them. This behaviour involved submerging quickly into the water and hiding under the aquatic vegetation, occasionally also burying themselves in the mud at the bottom of the stream.
- The absence of deimatic behaviour in *P. somuncurens* is probably related to its aquatic and nocturnal habits (Velasco et al., 2016). Deimatic behaviour is considered a plesiomorphic condition in *Pleurodema* (Faivovich et al., 2012; Ferraro et al., 2021). Consequently, the absence of deimatic behaviour in *P. somuncurens* is likely a secondary loss. This condition and the escape mechanism described herein differentiate *P. somuncurens* from the other two terrestrial species within the *P. thaul* Clade (i.e. *P. bufoninum* and *P. thaul*).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Secretaría de Ambiente, Cambio Climático y Desarrollo Sustentable de Río Negro (SAyDS) for providing the required permits to perform this work. We also thank the rangers of the protected natural area Meseta de Somuncura Park, Camila Kass and Mauricio Akmentins for their kind assistance during the fieldwork. Rodrigo Calvo, Tomás Martínez Aguirre, Agustina Oliva and Ornella Zarini assisted us with the ex situ colony. Rodrigo Calvo photographed *P. somuncurens*. This work was partially funded by Conservation Leadership Programme, Rufford Booster Grant, Amphibian Ark, National Geographic Society, Fondo de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica (PICT 2018-00891 and PICT-2019-03505). Comments by the editor Rick Hodges significantly improved the manuscript.

- Blanchette, A. & Saporito, R.A. (2016). Defensive behaviour exhibited by the green and black poison frog (*Dendrobates auratus*) in response to simulated predation. *The Herpetological Bulletin* 136: 39.
- Bulbert, M.W., Page, R.A. & Bernal, X.E. (2015). Danger comes from all fronts: predator-dependent escape tactics of Túngara frogs. *PLoS One* 10(4): e0120546.
- Cei, J.M. & Espina Aguilera, S. (1957). La vibración sexual preventiva “Warning vibration” en *Pleurodema chilensis*. *Investigaciones zoológicas Chilenas* 4: 15–21.
- Chiocchio, A., Martino, G., Bisconti, R., Carere, C. & Canestrelli, D. (2022). Shock or jump: deimatic behaviour is repeatable and polymorphic in a yellow-bellied toad. Preprint bioRxiv 2022.04.29.489992.
- Faivovich, J., Ferraro, D.P., Basso, N.G., Haddad, C.H., Rodrigues, M.T., Wheeler, W.C. & Lavilla, E.O. (2012). A phylogenetic analysis of *Pleurodema* (Anura: Leptodactylidae: Leiuperinae) based on mitochondrial and nuclear gene sequences, with comments on the evolution of anuran foam nests. *Cladistics* 28: 460–482.
- Ferraro, D.P. (2022). Combined phylogenetic analysis of *Pleurodema* (Anura: Leptodactylidae: Leiuperinae). *Cladistics* 38: 301–319.
- Ferraro, D.P., Pereyra, M.O., Topa, P.E. & Faivovich, J. (2021). Evolution of macroglands and defensive mechanisms in Leiuperinae (Anura: Leptodactylidae). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 193: 388–412.
- Ferraro, D.P., Topa, P.E. & Hermida, G.N. (2013). Lumbar glands in the frog genera *Pleurodema* and *Somuncuria* (Anura: Leiuperidae): histological and histochemical perspectives. *Acta Zoologica* 94: 44–57.
- Ferreira, R.B., Lourenço-de-Moraes, R., Zocca, C., Duca, C., Beard, K.H. & Brodie, E.D. (2019). Antipredator mechanisms of post-metamorphic anurans: a global database and classification system. *Behavioural Ecology and Sociobiology* 73: 1–21.
- Green, D.M. (1988). Antipredator behaviour and skin glands in the New Zealand native frogs, genus *Leiopelma*. *New Zealand Journal of Zoology* 15: 39–45.
- IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group. (2016). *Pleurodema somuncurens*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T20372A85948443. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-1.RLTS.T20372A85948443.en>.
- Kolenc, F., Borteiro, C., Baldo, D., Ferraro, D.P. & Prigioni, C. (2009). The tadpoles and advertisement calls of *Pleurodema bibroni* Tschudi and *Pleurodema kriegi* (Müller), with notes on their geographic distribution and conservation status (Amphibia, Anura, Leiuperidae). *Zootaxa* 1969: 1–35.
- Kowalski, K., Sawościanik, O. & Rychlik, L. (2018). Do bufonids employ different anti-predator behaviours than ranids? Comparison among three European anurans. *Copeia* 106: 120–129.
- Marchisin, A. & Anderson, J.D. (1978). Strategies employed by frogs and toads (Amphibia, Anura) to avoid predation by snakes. *Journal of Herpetology* 12: 151–155.

- Noble, G.K. (1931). The biology of the Amphibia. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company. 577 pp.
- Pedroso-Santos, F., Sanches, P.R. & Costa-Campos, C.E. (2020). Defensive strategies in three Amazonian hylids (Anura: Hylidae). *The Herpetological Bulletin* 153: 29–30.
- Toledo, L.F., Sazima, I. & Haddad, C.F.B. (2011). Behavioural defences of anurans: an overview. *Ethology Ecology & Evolution* 23: 1–25.
- Velasco, M.A., Kacoliris, F.P., Berkunsky, I., Quiroga, S. & Williams, J.D. (2016). Current distributional status of the Critically Endangered Valcheta frog: implications for conservation. *Neotropical Biology and Conservation* 11: 110–113.
- Villa, J. (1969). Comportamiento defensivo de la “Rana Ternero”, *Leptodactylus pentadactylus*. *Revista de Biología Tropical* 15: 323–329.

Accepted: 1 May 2023