IN a recent paper (Kok, 2000), I mentioned the presence of *Otophryne robusta* on Montagne Belvédère in central French Guiana. In fact, shortly after the submission of my paper, I received a reprint of an article by Campbell & Clarke (1998) in which these authors reviewed the genus *Otophryne*. It appears that the species present in French Guiana is a new species, *Otophryne pyburni* Campbell & Clarke, 1998 and not *Otophryne robusta* Boulenger, 1900. At the time this new information was received my paper was already in press and the modification could not unfortunately be carried out.

I mentioned also the presence of *Colostethus baeobatrachus* Boistel & de Massary, 1999, but Martins (1989), in a poorly known report, described a new species, *Colostethus stepheni*, and mentioned that 'C. baeobatrachus' of Edwards (1974) corresponds to this species. I carefully read the article and the diagnosis of Martins and conclude that the species I called *Colostethus baeobatrachus* Boistel & de Massary is in fact a junior synonym of *Colostethus stepheni* Martins, 1989. This record considerably extends the range of *C. stepheni* which was only known from the region of the type locality (Amazonas, Brazil).

Concerning *Bufo* species 1 and *Bufo* species 2 (page 10), the reader needs to read 'not hypertrophied' instead of 'hypertrophied'.

I would like also to thank Dr. Scott Mori (New York Botanical Garden) for the permission to use the map of Sâul and surroundings illustrating the article.
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Editor's note:
The original article referred to here regretfully also contained a number of printing mistakes, for which we apologize; the principal errors that readers should be aware of are listed below.

p. 6, 3rd paragraph; Trois Sauts - and not Trois Sauts.

p. 15, concerning *Hyla minuscula*, line 3; Rivero (1971) - and not Rivero (1968).

p. 18, plate 6; *Atelopus flavescens* - and not *Atelopus flavesens*.

p. 18, plate 8; *Colostethus beebei* - and not *Colostethus beehei*.

p. 22, concerning *Leptodactylus pentadactylus* (Laurenti, 1768) - and not (Laurentil, 1768)

p. 23, concerning *Physalaemus petersi*; males were calling - and not 'were called'.

p. 24, concerning *Eleutherodactylus marmoratus*; males were calling - and not 'were called'.
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