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Appendix 1. See separate PDF file showing pictures of 100 sampled lakes and ponds

Appendix 2. Pictures of the three lakes and ponds types in function of its percentage of rock and vegetation in their riparian area


Appendix 3. Dispersion diagrams showing different lake types' distribution (Longitude (UTM) and Latitude (UTM) (Fig. A); Longitude (UTM) and Total surface $\log \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ (Fig. B); Longitude (UTM) and Altitude (m a.s.I.) (Fig. C). In the dispersion diagrams the different lake types' distribution is shown. Lakes type A (fish stocked) are represented by Trout fry, Lakes type B (non-fish stocked and non-frog breeding presence) are represented by Frog breeding presence, Lakes type C (fish stocked and frog breeding presence) are represented by Trout fry and frog breeding, and Lakes type D (non-fish stocked and neither frog presence nor frog breeding presence) are represented by Other.


Appendix 4. Descriptive statistics of the studied variables in the three gathering variable pond and lake type: type A (fish stocked), type B (non-fish stocked and nor frog breeding presence), and type C (fish stocked and frog breeding presence). One-way ANOVA to analyse the effect of the study variables on the discrimination of the three gathering variable pond and lake type. Non parametric Kruskal-Wallis and Jonckheere-Terpstra tests to confirm the effect of the four variables in the lake type $A(N=63), B(N=42)$ and $C(N=5)(N$ represents the lake number for each lake type studied, df are the degrees of freedom).

| Variables | Type A |  |  |  | Type B |  |  |  | Type C |  |  |  | ANOVA |  | Kruskal-Wallis |  |  | Jonckheere-Terpstra |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Standard deviation | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Standard deviation | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Standard deviation | F | Sig. | Chisqaure | df | Asymp Sig. | Standardised J-T statistic | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided test) |
| Total Surface ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 555 | 173200 | 31679.98 | 39312.90 | 40 | 136324 | 4784.74 | 20905.08 | 1876 | 79810 | 18640.8 | 34212.60 | 8.246 | 0 | 55.976 | 2 | 0 | -6.636 | 0 |
| Altitude (m a.s.l.) | 1668 | 2747 | 2297.29 | 208.41 | 1920 | 2652 | 2203.24 | 159.32 | 2208 | 2377 | 2276.4 | 68.26 | 3.197 | 0.045 | 7.345 | 2 | 0.025 | -2.292 | 0.022 |
| Latitude (UTM) | 4733 | 4750 | 4744.49 | 3.58 | 4733 | 4748 | 4740.69 | 5.22 | 4736 | 4745 | 4741.8 | 3.83 | 10.071 | 0 | 13.19 | 2 | 0.001 | -3.529 | 0 |
| Longitude (UTM) | 694 | 757 | 732.81 | 14.53 | 696 | 756 | 734.64 | 17.93 | 709 | 757 | 732 | 22.83 | 0.181 | 0.834 | 0.879 | 2 | 0.644 | 0.817 | 0.414 |
| Mean of the percentage of rock present in the riparian area | 10 | 100 | 76.69 | 26.14 | 0 | 98 | 49.41 | 33.11 | 5 | 80 | 44 | 33.05 | 11.148 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean of the percentage of vegetation present in the riparian area | 0 | 90 | 22.02 | 25.79 | 2 | 100 | 49.61 | 33.64 | 20 | 95 | 55 | 33.35 | 11.333 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH | 6.22 | 9.32 | 7.81 | 0.58 | 5.46 | 8.55 | 7.60 | 0.64 | 6.88 | 8.70 | 7.81 | 0.65 | 1.413 | 0.249 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conductivity ( $\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}$ ) | 5 | 151 | 33.96 | 29.88 | 4 | 199 | 44.63 | 48.47 | 6 | 49 | 21.36 | 18.15 | 1.313 | 0.274 |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 5. Non parametric Kruskal-Wallis and Jonckheere-Terpstra tests, three lake classes (breeding presence, adult presence and breeding and adult presence) were considered to analyse the effect of the Total surface, Longitude, Latitude and Altitude in the presence of frog breeding and frog adults ( N represents the lake number for each lake class studied, df are the degrees of freedom).

| Test Statistics |  |  |  |  |  | Kruskal-Wallis |  |  | Jonckheere-Terpstra |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variables | N | Mean | Standard <br> Deviation | Minimum | Maximum | Chisquare | df | Asymp. Sig. | Observed J-T | Mean J-T | Standard Deviation J-T | Standardised Test Statistic J-T | Asymptotic <br> Sig. (2-sided test) |
| Total Surface ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 215 | 12456.83 | 27341.051 | 30 | 173200 | 2.052 | 2 | 0.359 | 1419.500 | 1404.000 | 142.321 | 0.109 | 0.913 |
| Longitude (UTM) | 215 | 730.5302 | 17.60862 | 694.00 | 757.00 | 8.622 | 2 | 0.013 | 1618.500 | 1404.000 | 141.975 | 1.511 | 0.131 |
| Latitude (UTM) | 215 | 4743.3349 | 4.57640 | 4733.00 | 4750.00 | 6.632 | 2 | 0.036 | 1295.000 | 1404.000 | 141.263 | -0.772 | 0.440 |
| Altitude (m a.s.l.) | 215 | 2245.20 | 207.790 | 1580 | 2747 | 0.602 | 2 | 0.740 |  | 1404.000 | 142.306 | 0.246 | 0.806 |
| Presence of frog breeding and frog adults | 95 | 1.99 | 0.707 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

