A new species of Bent-toed gecko (Squamata: Gekkonidae: *Cyrtodactylus* Gray, 1827) from the Garo Hills, Meghalaya State, north-east India, and discussion of morphological variation for *C. urbanus*
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Recent taxonomic and systematic research on *Cyrtodactylus khasiensis* has found that this nomen comprises a large number of superficially similar but deeply divergent species-level taxa from throughout north-east India and surrounding countries. In this study we focus on the taxonomic status of recently surveyed populations from the East Garo Hills and West Garo Hills districts and a single specimen collected from Ri Bhoi District in Meghalaya State, north-east India. Based on a combination of morphological and molecular data we found that the Ri Bhoi specimen is conspecific with the recently described *C. urbanus*, and that the Garo Hills populations represent a new species of *Cyrtodactylus* described herein. Molecular analyses (using the NADH dehydrogenase 2, *nd2* and adjoining tRNA genes) demonstrate that the new species is nested within the khasiensis group of the Indo-Burma radiation of *Cyrtodactylus*, and is well-supported as sister to a clade that comprises *C. septentrionalis* and *C. guwahatimensis*. We morphologically compare the new *C. urbanus* specimen with the original description of the species, identify a number of errors and ambiguities in the original description, and notably expand the known morphological variation for the species based on 23 characters. The discovery of an endemic new species of lizards from the Garo Hills further highlights the region as an overlooked centre of biodiversity importance. We discuss several misidentifications in the literature of other reptile species from the region emphasising the need for further attention by taxonomists to review the herpetofauna of the Garo Hills.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The Bent-toed geckos of the genus *Cyrtodactylus* Gray, 1827 represent the most species-rich radiation of squamates with more than 300 species known to date (Grismer et al., 2021). *Cyrtodactylus* species range from Pakistan, throughout south and south-east Asia, the Indo-Australian Archipelago to northern Australia (e.g., Annandale, 1913; Darevsky et al., 1998; Mahony & Reza, 2008; Mahony et al., 2009a, 2009b; Wood et al., 2012; Das et al., 2016; Agarwal et al., 2018b; Grismer et al., 2018b; Riyanto et al., 2018; Davis et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). Until recently, north-east India had only two reported species of *Cyrtodactylus*, *C. gubernatoris* (Annandale, 1913), known from only two specimens collected in the Darjeeling hills of northern West Bengal State, and *C. khasiensis* (Jerdon, 1870), a species long considered to be widespread throughout north-east India and neighbouring regions of southern China, Bangladesh and northern/western Myanmar (Smith, 1935; Ahsan, 1998; Li, 2007). Mahony (2009) and Mahony et al. (2009a) reviewed the taxonomic status of specimens referred to *C. khasiensis* from Myanmar and Bangladesh respectively, concluding that these populations represent other superficially similar species. Agarwal et al. (2014) was the first to conduct molecular phylogenetic analyses on populations of *C. khasiensis* from north-east India, demonstrating that most represent deeply divergent lineages. Agarwal et al. (2018b, 2018c) reviewed the known valid and synonymised taxa from north-east India, further emphasising the high species-level diversity in the region. Most recently, Mirza
et al. (2021) described C. arunachalensis Mirza, Bhosale, Ansari, Phansalkar, Sawant, Gowande and Patel, 2021, a widely distributed species from Arunachal Pradesh State. Thirteen, mostly range restricted species are now known to occur in north-east India (Jerdon, 1870; Annandale, 1906, 1913; Agarwal et al., 2018a, 2018b; Purkayastha et al., 2020a; Mirza et al., 2021), and all bar one, C. tripuraensis Agarwal, Mahony, Girisha, Chaitanya and Bauer, 2018, are considered endemic to India (Al-Razi et al., 2018). In this paper we provide a taxonomic study on Cyrtodactylus populations from the East Garo Hills, West Garo Hills and Ri Bhoi districts of Meghalaya, resulting in the discovery of a new species of Cyrtodactylus from forested habitat in the Garo Hills (Fig. 1) and an additional population of C. urbanus from Ri Bhoi. Our study increases the known diversity of Cyrtodactylus in Meghalaya State to four species, and permits an expanded description of morphological variation for C. urbanus.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Fieldwork and curation. Fieldwork was carried out by R.G. Kamei (RGK) at Daribokgre Village (East Garo Hills District), and Jiangapara Village, Tura and Rangasaggre Village (West Garo Hills District) between 4 and 28 June 2014, and at Saiden Village, Nongpoh (Ri Bhoi District) on 3 July 2014 (Fig. 1). GPS coordinates and elevation for collection localities were determined using a Garmin GPSMAP 62s. Collected specimens were humanely euthanised by injecting ca. 2 % lidocaine solution into the body cavity, fixed in 5 % aqueous formalin in the field, and subsequently washed for 24 hours in water before being transferred to 70 % ethanol for long-term preservation. Prior to fixation, a muscle tissue sample from the pectoral region was excised and stored in PCR grade absolute EtOH at -4 °C for molecular analyses. After morphological examination, specimens were deposited at the Bombay Natural History Society, Mumbai, India (BNHS 2752–2756; BNHS 2852). Abbreviations used for other museum collections are as follows: Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA (BPBM); Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, USA (BYU); California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, California, USA (CAS); Centre for Ecological Sciences, Bangalore, India (CES); Chulalongkorn University Museum of Zoology, Bangkok, Thailand (CUMZ); Departmental Museum of Zoology, Mizoram University, Aizawl, Mizoram, India (MZMU); Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, Illinois, USA (FMNH); La Sierra University Herpetological Collection, La Sierra University, Riverside, California, USA (LSUHC); Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, Berkeley, California, USA (MVZ); National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian, Washington, USA (USNM); Natural History Museum, London, UK (NHMUK); previously British Museum [Natural History], BMNH; Pakistan Museum of Natural History, Islamabad, Islamabad Capital Territory (PMNH); North East Regional Centre, Zoological Survey India, Shillong, Meghalaya, India (NERC/ZSI); previously the Eastern Regional Station, Zoological Survey India, ERS/ZSI; Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata, West Bengal, India (ZSIK).

Molecular data. Genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit® (Qiagen) following manufacturer’s instructions. Partial sequences for nd2 were amplified due to the extensive availability of homologous congeneric sequences available on GenBank (Benson et al., 2017) for phylogenetic reconstruction. Sequences were generated using either the primer pair L4437b and H5540 (Macey et al., 1997, 2000; ca. 1,010 nucleotides) or ND2-METF1 and ND2-COIR1 (Macey et al., 1997; 1,256 nucleotides). The PCR was performed in a 25 μl reaction mix comprising of 1.5 μl extracted DNA (~10 ng/μl), 10 μl PCR grade H₂O, 12.5 μl MyTaq™ Mix (Biotone) and 0.5 μl each of forward and reverse primers (10 ng/μl). The PCR reaction protocol for the primers L4437b and H5540 was as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for two minutes, then 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 seconds, annealing at 53 °C for 30 seconds, and extension at 72 °C for one minute. The final extension was at 72 °C for five minutes. The PCR reaction protocol for the primers ND2-METF1 and ND2-COIR1 was as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for three minutes, then 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 35 seconds, annealing at 50 °C for 35 seconds, and extension at 72 °C for 35 seconds. The final extension was at 72 °C for 10 minutes. PCR product purification and sequencing were outsourced to either Medauxin (Bangalore, India) or Barcode Biosciences (Bangalore, India). Sequence chromatograms were quality checked, edited and assembled into contigs using Geneious V.8.1.9 (Kearse et al., 2012). A BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990) search was performed on the NCBI BLAST website (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) against GenBank sequences to verify their approximate identity. Newly generated sequences are available on GenBank (MW367435.1–MW367439.1; see Table 1). Comparative sequences (Table 1) comprising all members of the Indo-Burma radiation of Cyrtodactylus along with several outgroup taxa were obtained from GenBank, generated in the following studies: Siler et al. (2010); Johnson et al. (2012); Wood et al. (2012); Bauer et al. (2013); Agarwal et al. (2014, 2018c); Grismer et al. (2018a, 2018b, 2019a, 2019b); Muansanga et al. (2020); Purkayastha et al. (2020a). A sequence for C. myalektaung Grismer, Wood, Thura, Win, Grismer, Trueblood and Quah, 2018 was obtained directly from the original authors (Grismer pers. comm., 2020) as it was not available on GenBank at the time of writing. Sequence identities of some species were corrected following Mahony & Kamei (in review). A sequence alignment was generated using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) on MEGA7 (Tamura & Nei, 1993; Kumar et al., 2016) using default parameter settings. The alignment was converted from DNA to amino acid sequences to check for open reading frames and premature stop codons in the nd2 sequences. Non-coding regions of the alignment were checked manually and adjusted where necessary. The total alignment length comprised 1,309 bp including the complete nd2 + trnA-trp + trnA-ala + partial trnA-asn genes. Phylogenetic relationships were estimated with RAxML-HPC2 (Stamatakis, 2014) on XSEDE (CIPRES platform: Miller et al., 2010) for maximum likelihood, on an unpartitioned alignment dataset using the GTR CAT
**Table 1.** List of *Cyrtodactylus* nd2 sequences used in this study (newly generated sequences in bold). Museum abbreviations are defined and original citations for sequences given in the "Materials & Methods" section. Dist. District; Subdist. Subdistrict; Div. Division; Reg. Region; Prov. Province; N.P. National Park; W.S. Wildlife Sanctuary; Mts. mountains. * specimen number are defined and original citations for sequences given in the "Materials & Methods" section. Dist. District; Subdist. Subdistrict; Prov. Province; N.P. National Park; W.S. Wildlife Sanctuary; Mts. mountains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Museum No.</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>GenBank Accession No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>C. annandalei</em> Bauer, 2003</td>
<td>CAS 215722</td>
<td>Myanmar, Sagaing Div., Alaungdaw Kathapa N.P.</td>
<td>JX440524.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. arunachalensis</em> Mirza, Bhosale, Ansari, Phansalkar, Sawant, Gowande &amp; Patel, 2021</td>
<td>CES13/1465</td>
<td>India, Arunachal Pradesh State, Changlang Dist., Glow Lake.</td>
<td>KM255193.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. ayeyarwadyensis</em> Bauer, 2003</td>
<td>LSUHC 13948</td>
<td>Myanmar, Mandalay Reg., Pyin Oo Lwin Dist., Kyauk Nagar Cave.</td>
<td>MH764589.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. myintkyawthurai</em> Grismer, Wood, Thura, Win, Grismer, Trueblood &amp; Quah, 2018c</td>
<td>CAS 212459</td>
<td>Myanmar, Ayeyarwady Div., vicinity of Mwe Hauk Village (previously as &quot;Myanmar, Rakhine State, Than Dawe Dist., Gwa Township&quot;).</td>
<td>JX440526.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. cf. ayeyarwadyensis</em> Bauer, 2003</td>
<td>CAS 216446</td>
<td>Myanmar, Rakhine State, vicinity of Kantheya Beach.</td>
<td>GU550715.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. battalensis</em> Khan, 1993</td>
<td>PMNH 2301</td>
<td>Pakistan, North-West Frontier Prov., Battagram City.</td>
<td>KC151983.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bapme</em> Bauer, 2002</td>
<td>BNHS 2752</td>
<td>India, Meghalaya State, East Garo Hills Dist., Daribokgre Hamlet.</td>
<td>MW367437.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bapme</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>BNHS 2754</td>
<td>India, Meghalaya State, West Garo Hills Dist., Jangpara Village.</td>
<td>MW367438.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bapme</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>BNHS 2755</td>
<td>India, Meghalaya State, West Garo Hills Dist., Tura Peak.</td>
<td>MW367436.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. bapme</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>BNHS 2756</td>
<td>India, Meghalaya State, West Garo Hills Dist., Jangpara Village.</td>
<td>MW367435.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*C. bhpophylly Agarwal, Mahony, Giri, Chaitanya &amp; Bauer, 2018b</td>
<td>BNHS 2255</td>
<td>India, West Bengal State, Kalimpong Dist., near Lower Mongpong.</td>
<td>KM255204.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. brevidactylus</em> Bauer, 2002</td>
<td>CAS 214105</td>
<td>Myanmar, Mandalay Reg., Popa Mountain Park.</td>
<td>GU550714.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*C. chamba Agarwal, Khandekar &amp; Bauer, 2018c</td>
<td>CES11/1291</td>
<td>India, Hamical Pradesh State, Chamba Dist., near Chamba.</td>
<td>KM255191.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. chrysopilos</em> Bauer, 2003</td>
<td>LSUHC 13937</td>
<td>Myanmar, Shan State, Taunggyi Dist., Ywangan Township.</td>
<td>MH764604.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. fasciolatus</em> (Blivy, 1861)</td>
<td>CES11/1337</td>
<td>India, Himical Pradesh State, Shimla Dist., near Subathu.</td>
<td>KM255184.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. cf. fasciolatus</em> 1</td>
<td>CES11/1345</td>
<td>India, Uttarakhand State, Almora Dist., Almora.</td>
<td>KM255169.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*C. cf. fasciolatus 2</td>
<td>CES09/1196</td>
<td>India, Uttarakhand State, Dehradun Dist., Mussoorie-Kempty Road.</td>
<td>KM255172.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*C. gansi Bauer, 2003</td>
<td>BNHS 224212</td>
<td>Myanmar, Chin State, Min Dat Township.</td>
<td>JX440537.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. guwahattensis</em> Agarwal, Mahony, Giri, Chaitanya &amp; Bauer, 2018c</td>
<td>BNHS 2146</td>
<td>India, Assam State, Guwahati Dist., Guwahati.</td>
<td>KM255194.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. himalayanus</em> Duda &amp; Sahi, 1978</td>
<td>CES11/1317</td>
<td>India, Jammu and Kashmir State, Kishtwar Dist., near Kishtwar.</td>
<td>KM255187.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. jointaensis</em> Agarwal, Mahony, Giri, Chaitanya &amp; Bauer, 2018c</td>
<td>BNHS 2248</td>
<td>India, Meghalaya State, Jaintia Hills Dist., near Jowai.</td>
<td>KM255195.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. khasiensis</em> Jerdon, 1870</td>
<td>BNHS 2249</td>
<td>Myanmar, Meghalaya State, East Khasi Hills Dist., Cherrapunjee Resort.</td>
<td>KM255188.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. lowenderanensis</em> Stoliczka, 1871</td>
<td>CES11/1343</td>
<td>India, Uttarakhand State, Almora Dist., Almora.</td>
<td>KM255189.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. mombergi</em> Grismer, Wood, Quah, Thura, Herr &amp; Lin, 2019b</td>
<td>LSUHC 14734</td>
<td>Myanmar, Kachin State, Mohnypin Township, Indawgyi W.S.</td>
<td>MN059875.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. cf. mombergi</em></td>
<td>LSUHC 14591</td>
<td>Myanmar, Kachin State, Mohnypin Township, Indawgyi W.S.</td>
<td>MN059869.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. montanus</em> Agarwal, Mahony, Giri, Chaitanya &amp; Bauer, 2018c</td>
<td>BNHS 2231</td>
<td>India, Tripura State, North Tripura Dist., Phuldongsei Village.</td>
<td>KM255200.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. novaweigui</em> Schlegel, 1837</td>
<td>MZMU 1630</td>
<td>Myanmar, Kachin State, Dampa Tiger Reserve, Pathlawi Lunglen Township.</td>
<td>MT250544.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. novaweigui</em> (erroneously as <em>C. &quot;feae&quot;</em> on GenBank)</td>
<td>USNM 559805</td>
<td>Myanmar, Mandalay Reg., Popa Mountain Park.</td>
<td>JX440536.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. nagalandensis</em> Agarwal, Mahony, Giri, Chaitanya &amp; Bauer, 2018c</td>
<td>BNHS 2253</td>
<td>India, Nagaland State, Kohima Dist., Kohoma.</td>
<td>KM255199.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. novaevigui</em> Schlegel, 1837</td>
<td>BPBM 23316</td>
<td>Papua New Guinea, West Sepik Prov., Parkop, Toricelli Mts.</td>
<td>JX440547.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. nyinyikyowi</em> Grismer, Wood, Thura, Win &amp; Quah, 2019a</td>
<td>CAS 226139</td>
<td>Myanmar, Magwe Reg., Min Bu Township, Shwe Settaw W.S.</td>
<td>MH624118.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. peguensis</em> Boulenger, 1893</td>
<td>LSUHC 13454</td>
<td>Myanmar, Bago Reg., Myin Mo Shwe Taung Pagoda.</td>
<td>MH765190.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. philippinicus</em> Steindacher, 1867</td>
<td>FMNH 236073</td>
<td>Philippines, Romblon Island.</td>
<td>JX440550.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. pyzdralinensis</em> Grismer, Wood, Thura, Win &amp; Quah, 2019a</td>
<td>LSUHC 13932</td>
<td>Myanmar, Shan State, Ywangan Township, Panluang-Pyadalin Cave W.S.</td>
<td>MK488057.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
model under default settings for 1,000 rapid bootstrap (bs.) replicates. The resulting phylogenetic tree was visualised in FigTree (Rambaut, 2009), and rooted with Cyrtodactylus tibetanus (Boulenger, 1905) which has previously been resolved as the sister taxon to remaining Cyrtodactylus species (e.g., Wood et al., 2012; Agarwal et al., 2014).

**Morphology.** Measurements were made using a Mitutoyo™ digital calliper and rounded to the nearest 0.1 mm. Measurements and scale counts were made on the right side of the specimens unless otherwise stated. Abbreviations and terminology used are as follows: SVL, snout to vent length; TRL, trunk length; BW, maximum body width; TL, tail length; TW, tail width taken on the first tail segment; TD, tail depth taken on the first tail segment; HL, head length from the snout tip to the retroarticular process of the jaw; HW, maximum head width; JW, jaw width taken ventrally at the retroarticular process of the jaw; HD, maximum head depth; FL, forearm length; CL, crus length; OD, orbit diameter taken horizontally between the bony orbital borders (not equivalent to eyeball diameter); NO, distance between the posterior edge of the nostril and the anterior orbital border; SO, distance between the snout tip and the anterior orbital border; OE, distance between the posterior orbital border and the anterior orbital border; SO, distance between the snout tip and the anterior orbital border; OE, distance between the posterior orbital border and the anterior orbital border; IO, minimum interorbital distance between the left and right supraocular rows; FW, minimum frontal width; RL, maximum rostral length; RW, maximum rostral width; ML, maximum mental length; MW, maximum mental width; PMIL, maximum length of the inner postmental; PML, maximum length of the outer postmental; FIVL, length of digit IV of the manus, from the proximal apex with digit III to the tip (excluding the claw); TIVL, length of digit IV of the pes, from the proximal apex with digit III to the tip (excluding the claw); PceP, precloacal pores, a continuous series of pore-bearing scales on the precloacal region that does not extend onto the thighs; PcP, precloacofemoral pores, a continuous series of pore-bearing scales that extends from the precloacal region onto the thighs; FP, femoral pores, a series of pore-bearing scales on the thighs that are separated from a PceP/PcFP series by a diastema of non-pore-bearing scales; PCS, post cloacal spurs; MVSR, mid-ventral scale rows, counted between the ventrolateral folds; PVT1, paravertebral tubercles, counted from the most anterior tubercle on the occiput with the following taxa that comprise all known species; PVT2, paravertebral tubercles, counted from the most anterior tubercle on the occiput with the following taxa that comprise all known species.

Species | Museum No. | Locality | GenBank Accession No. |
---|---|---|---|
*C. pyinyaungensis* Grismer, Wood, Thura, Zin, Quah, Murdoch, Grismer, Lin, Kyaw & Lwin, 2018d | BYU 52234 | Myanmar, Mandalay Reg., .5 km north of Pyinyaung Village at the Apache Cement Factory mining site. | MF872307.1 |
*C. russelli* Bauer, 2003 | CAS 226137 | Myanmar, Sagaing Div., Htamanthi W.S. | JX440555.1 |
*C. septentrionalis* Agarwal, Mahony, Giri, Chaitanya & Bauer, 2018c | BNHS 1989 | India, Assam State, Bongaigaon Dist., near Abhayapuri. | MH971164.1 |
*C. slowinskii* Bauer, 2002 | CAS 210205 | Myanmar, Sagaing Div., Alaungdaw Kathapa N.P. | JX440559.1 |
*C. tibetanus* (Boulenger, 1905) | MVZ 233251 | China, Tibet Autonomous Region, Lhasa, 3 km from of Potala Palace. | JX440561.1 |
*C. tripuraensis* Agarwal, Mahony, Giri, Chaitanya & Bauer, 2018b | BNHS 2238 | India, Tripura State, Sepahijala Dist., Sepahijala W.S. | KM255183.1 |
*C. cf. tripuraensis* Agarwal, Mahony, Giri, Chaitanya & Bauer, 2020a | BNHS 2230 | India, Tripura State, North Tripura Dist., Rowa W.S. | KM255201.1 |
*C. urbanus* Purkayastha, Das, Bohra, Bauer & Agarwal, 2020a | VR/ERS/25/688 | India, Assam State, Kamrup Metropolitan Dist., Guwahati, Basista Temple. | MN911174.1 |
*C. urbanus* | BNHS 2852 | India, Meghalaya State, Ri Bhoi Dist., Saidaen. | MW367439.1 |
*C. sp. Changlang1* | CES11/1349 | India, Arunachal Pradesh State, Changlang Dist., Miao. | KM255179.1 |
*C. sp. Changlang2* | CES13/1459 | India, Arunachal Pradesh State, Changlang Dist., Miao. | KM255192.1 |
*C. sp. Khellong* | CES13/1464 | India, Arunachal Pradesh State, West Kameng Dist., Kellong. | KM255196.1 |
*C. sp. Magway* | CES11/1349 | Myanmar, Magway Reg., Min Bu Township, Shwesettaw W.S. | MH624118.1 |
*C. sp. Mizoram* | CES13/1455 | India, Mizoram State, near Aizawl Town. | KM255197.1 |
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**markuscombaii** (Darevsky, Helfenberger, Orlov & Shah, 1998); *C. martinstollii* (Darevsky, Helfenberger, Orlov & Shah, 1998); *C. septentrionalis* Agarwal, Mahony, Giri, Chaitanya & Bauer, 2018; *C. tamaiensis* (Smith, 1940); *C. tripuraensis*, and *C. urbanus*. Morphological characters cited for congeners in the comparisons sections are taken directly from either their original descriptions (i.e., Darevsky et al., 1998; Bauer, 2003; Li, 2007; Mahony, 2009; Agarwal et al., 2018b, 2018c; Purkayastha et al., 2020a), literature that reviewed the species based on their type specimens for *C. khasiensis* and *C. himalayicus* (Agarwal et al., 2018b), *C. guwahatiensis* (Purkayastha et al., 2020a) and *C. tamaiensis* (Mahony, 2009), and/or direct examination of specimens (Appendix I).

The new species is known only from female specimens and thus does not have the often-useful diagnostic character of PnP (otherwise observed on male *Cyrtodactylus* from the region). On the females, these scales instead possess a shallow pit (in lieu of a true pore). The number of pitted scales on females when present is either the same as or less (but never higher) than found on males of other related species for which both males and females are known (Agarwal et al., 2018b, 2018c; Purkayastha et al., 2020a) and/or direct examination of specimens (Appendix I).

**Results & Discussion**

The overall topology within the Indo-Burma radiation of *Cyrtodactylus* on the phylogenetic tree agrees well with those published elsewhere (e.g., Purkayastha et al., 2020a), with the exception of the placement of the clade

---

**Figure 1.** Topographic map of the Garo and Khasi Hills of Meghalaya State and bordering areas of Assam State, north-east India showing the distribution of *Cyrtodactylus bapme* sp. nov. and verified localities of *C. urbanus*: triangles represent the type localities; spots represent additional localities; cyan for *C. urbanus*; pink for *Cyrtodactylus bapme* sp. nov. Inset: map of north-east India with purple box representing the area depicted in the main map. Country borders in black; Indian state borders in brown; major rivers in blue; N Nepal; Bd Bangladesh; Bt Bhutan; C China; M Myanmar; As Assam; AP Arunachal Pradesh; Ma Manipur; Me Meghalaya; Mi Mizoram; N Nagaland; S Sikkim; T Tripura; WB West Bengal.

---

*markuscombaii* (Darevsky, Helfenberger, Orlov & Shah, 1998); *C. martinstollii* (Darevsky, Helfenberger, Orlov & Shah, 1998); *C. septentrionalis* Agarwal, Mahony, Giri, Chaitanya & Bauer, 2018; *C. tamaiensis* (Smith, 1940); *C. tripuraensis*, and *C. urbanus*. Morphological characters cited for congeners in the comparisons sections are taken directly from either their original descriptions (i.e., Darevsky et al., 1998; Bauer, 2003; Li, 2007; Mahony, 2009; Agarwal et al., 2018b, 2018c; Purkayastha et al., 2020a), literature that reviewed the species based on their type specimens for *C. khasiensis* and *C. himalayicus* (Agarwal et al., 2018b), *C. guwahatiensis* (Purkayastha et al., 2020a) and *C. tamaiensis* (Mahony, 2009), and/or direct examination of specimens (Appendix I).

The new species is known only from female specimens and thus does not have the often-useful diagnostic character of PnP (otherwise observed on male *Cyrtodactylus* from the region). On the females, these scales instead possess a shallow pit (in lieu of a true pore). The number of pitted scales on females when present is either the same as or less (but never higher) than found on males of other related species for which both males and females are known (Agarwal et al., 2018b, 2018c), thus the total number of pitted scales in the precloacal series in females of the new species are considered indicative of the minimum number of PnP that would be expected on males of this species (see Bauer, 2003:488, 492, for similar discussion on the use of female PnP counts in morphological comparisons with males of other species). The comparison section of the species account therefore includes a comparison of female pitted precloacal scale number for the new species with PnP counts of males of related taxa for which information is not available in the original description whether females possess pitted scales, e.g., both Bauer (2003: for *C. ayeyarwadyensis*) and Darevsky et al. (1998: for *markuscombaii* & *C. martinstollii*) state only that pores are absent on females, Purkayastha et al. (2020a: for *C. guwahatiensis*) does not mention whether pores or pits are present on females. Measurements and meristics for the juvenile paratype (BNHS 2756) were not taken.

**Map.** GPS coordinates of localities depicted on the distribution map were converted to Decimal Degrees (DD) format using GPS Visualizer (https://www.gpsvisualizer.com/calculators); coordinate system used throughout is DD format. The topographic map was made using Quantum GIS (QGIS v.2.14.3-Essen) using the 250 m spatial resolution Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) layer available from DIVA-GIS (http://www.diva-gis.org), and other basic layers from the Natural Earth Quick Start Kit (http://www.naturalearthdata.com). The type locality for *C. urbanus* was plotted based on the revised GPS coordinates (see discussion in the “*Cyrtodactylus urbanus* distribution and morphological variation” section).

**Additional notes.** Within the comparison section, the elevational range of *C. himalayicus* was assessed based on data given in Agarwal et al. (2018b) for the type locality and the only known referred specimen from Gopaldhara Tea Estate (ZSIK 19546). The official Gopaldhara Tea Estate website (accessed 24/06/2020) gives the elevation range of the estate as 5,500–7,000 feet (converted to metres herein).

**Results & Discussion**

The overall topology within the Indo-Burma radiation of *Cyrtodactylus* on the phylogenetic tree agrees well with those published elsewhere (e.g., Purkayastha et al., 2020a), with the exception of the placement of the clade
comprising *C. russelli* and *C. slowinskii* that is usually resolved as sister to a clade containing all Indo-Burma radiation taxa bar *C. fasciolatus*. However, the position of the *russelli-slowinskii* clade is often poorly resolved given similar taxonomic sampling (e.g., Purkayastha et al., 2020a). All newly generated sequences from populations sampled in the Garo Hills of Meghalaya formed a distinct clade. This Garo Hills clade is sister to a clade comprising *C. septentrionalis* and *C. guwahatienesis* with high support (bs. 100) (Fig. 2), which is nested within the *khasiensis* clade (Lowland clade of Agarwal et al., 2014) of the *khasiensis* group (sensu Grismer et al., 2021) in the Indo-Burma radiation of *Cyrtodactylus*. The sequence for the Saiden specimen was sister to a paratype (VR/ERS/ZSI/688: Purkayastha et al., 2020a) of *C. urbanus* with sufficiently low divergence to be considered conspecific (Fig. 2; sequences 99 % identical).

The morphological examination of the genetically distinct Garo Hills lineage demonstrated that it is diagnosable from other known species in the *khasiensis* clade, thus based on the combined morphological and molecular evidences, we consider the Garo Hills lineage to represent a distinct new species (described below).

**Systematics**

*Cyrtodactylus bapme* sp. nov.

{urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:B1B43276-4E4D-46C1-BF43-7AB52FD5D137}

Figs. 3–5; Table 2.

**Holotype.** Adult (gravid) female, BNHS 2752 (field number RGK 0435; Figs. 3E, F & 4), from the banks of a stream below Daribokgre Wildlife Inspection Bungalow (25.494975, 90.323572, 1,015 m above sea level [asl.]), Daribokgre Hamlet, East Garo Hills District, Nokrek Ridge National Park in Nokrek Biosphere Reserve, Meghalaya State, north-east India, collected on 26 June 2014 by RGK.

**Paratypes.** Adult (gravid) female, BNHS 2753 (field number RGK 0486; Figs. 3D & 5A), from Chibonga chiring (25.494721, 90.329413, 1,015 m asl.), Daribokgre Hamlet, East Garo Hills District, Nokrek Ridge National Park in Nokrek Biosphere Reserve, Meghalaya State, north-east India, collected on 26 June 2014 by RGK; an adult female, Figure 2. Maximum likelihood phylogeny based on the *md2* + *tRNA-trp* + *tRNA-ala* + partial *tRNA-asn* genes for the Indo-Burma radiation of *Cyrtodactylus* and outgroup taxa, showing the systematic position of the new Garo Hills species (blue) and newly discovered population of *C. urbanus* (red). GenBank numbers in parentheses (* specimen number). Bootstrap support values ≥95 are represented by a green spot, values <95 are given next to relative nodes.
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Table 2. Morphometric and meristic data for adult *Cyrtodactylus bapme* sp. nov. and referred specimen of *C. urbanus*. L/R data taken on left/right side; + incomplete tail; – data not taken. Refer to the "Materials & Methods" section for explanation of abbreviations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cyrtodactylus bapme sp. nov.</th>
<th>Cyrtodactylus urbanus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specimen no.</td>
<td>BNHS 2752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Holotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village</td>
<td>Daribokgre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (maturity)</td>
<td>Female (adult)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVL</td>
<td>77.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRL</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL</td>
<td>88.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HL</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JW</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OE</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FW</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IO</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMIL</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMIIIL</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIVL</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVL</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PnP</td>
<td>0 (10 pitted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCS(L/R)</td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVS</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTR</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVT1</td>
<td>~47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVT2</td>
<td>~32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL(L/R)</td>
<td>9/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILL(L/R)</td>
<td>9/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FiLam</td>
<td>3(3)6 (L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FiiLam</td>
<td>4(4)7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FiiLam</td>
<td>5(3)11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIVLam</td>
<td>6(5)8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVILam</td>
<td>5(2)9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiLam</td>
<td>4(4)7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiILam</td>
<td>5(3)9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiIIILam</td>
<td>5(0)14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiVILam</td>
<td>8(0)14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiVILam</td>
<td>6(1)12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paired dark dorsal spots</td>
<td>~9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark tail bands</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BNHS 2754 (field number RGK 0254; Figs. 3A & 5C) and an unsexed juvenile, BNHS 2756 (field number RGK 0253; Fig. 3C), from a betel nut plantation close to the Public Works Department Inspection Bungalow (25.845801, 90.286975, 90 m asl.), Jangrapara Village, West Garo Hills District, Meghalaya State, north-east India, collected on 08 June 2014 by RGK; adult (gravid) female, BNHS 2755 (field number RGK 0413; Figs. 3B & 5B), from the banks of Nengsandra dare Stream in Nikrang A’ding (25.504235, 90.231431, 750 m asl.), near a trail towards Tura Peak summit, Tura Peak Reserved Forest, Tura Hill Range, West Garo Hills District, Meghalaya State, north-east India, collected on 25 June 2014 by RGK.

Morphological character summary. *Cyrtodactylus bapme* sp. nov. is a moderate-sized gecko (SVL 69.9–77.0 mm); 8–12 supralabials; 8–10 infralabials; dorsal tubercles are smooth to weakly keeled, bluntly conical; 21–24 dorsal tubercle rows; ca. 32–37 paravertebral tubercles between the level of the axilla and the level of the groin; 30–39 midventral scale rows; 0–13 pit-bearing (without true pore) precloacal scales in a continuous series in females, condition in males unknown; 12–19 subdigital lamellae on finger IV (excluding non-lamellar scales between the proximal and apical lamellae series); 15–22 subdigital lamellae on toe IV (excluding non-lamellar scales between the proximal and apical lamellae series); no single row of transversely enlarged subcaudal scales; 7–9 paired dark brown dorsal blotches on the dorsum; 10–12 alternating dark and light bands on the dorsum of the tail.

Holotype description (Figs. 3E, F & 4). Holotype in generally good preservation condition, except for a portion of skin and muscle tissue removed from the right pectoral region as a tissue voucher.

Adult female (SVL 77.0 mm), habitus robust (BW/SVL 0.21; TRL/SVL 0.43), dorsoventrally depressed. Head moderately long (HL/SVL 0.28), dorsoventrally depressed. Head moderately long (HL/SVL 0.28), dorsoventrally depressed. Head moderately long (HL/SVL 0.28), dorsoventrally depressed (HD/HW 0.54), distinct from neck; occipital region enlarged relative to the jaw width (JW/HW 0.89); loreal region convex; interorbital area flat; canthus rostralis rounded; narrow longitudinal furrow medially on the snout is absent; snout short (SO/HL 0.40), longer than the orbit.

BNHS 2754 (field number RGK 0254; Figs. 3A & 5C) and an unsexed juvenile, BNHS 2756 (field number RGK 0253; Fig. 3C), from a betel nut plantation close to the Public Works Department Inspection Bungalow (25.845801, 90.286975, 90 m asl.), Jangrapara Village, West Garo Hills District, Meghalaya State, north-east India, collected on 08 June 2014 by RGK; adult (gravid) female, BNHS 2755 (field number RGK 0413; Figs. 3B & 5B), from the banks of Nengsandra dare Stream in Nikrang A’ding (25.504235, 90.231431, 750 m asl.), near a trail towards Tura Peak summit, Tura Peak Reserved Forest, Tura Hill Range, West Garo Hills District, Meghalaya State, north-east India, collected on 25 June 2014 by RGK.

Morphological character summary. *Cyrtodactylus bapme* sp. nov. is a moderate-sized gecko (SVL 69.9–77.0 mm); 8–12 supralabials; 8–10 infralabials; dorsal tubercles are smooth to weakly keeled, bluntly conical; 21–24 dorsal tubercle rows; ca. 32–37 paravertebral tubercles between the level of the axilla and the level of the groin; 30–39 midventral scale rows; 0–13 pit-bearing (without true pore) precloacal scales in a continuous series in females, condition in males unknown; 12–19 subdigital lamellae on finger IV (excluding non-lamellar scales between the proximal and apical lamellae series); 15–22 subdigital lamellae on toe IV (excluding non-lamellar scales between the proximal and apical lamellae series); no single row of transversely enlarged subcaudal scales; 7–9 paired dark brown dorsal blotches on the dorsum; 10–12 alternating dark and light bands on the dorsum of the tail.

Holotype description (Figs. 3E, F & 4). Holotype in generally good preservation condition, except for a portion of skin and muscle tissue removed from the right pectoral region as a tissue voucher.

Adult female (SVL 77.0 mm), habitus robust (BW/SVL 0.21; TRL/SVL 0.43), dorsoventrally depressed. Head moderately long (HL/SVL 0.28), dorsoventrally depressed. Head moderately long (HL/SVL 0.28), dorsoventrally depressed (HD/HW 0.54), distinct from neck; occipital region enlarged relative to the jaw width (JW/HW 0.89); loreal region convex; interorbital area flat; canthus rostralis rounded; narrow longitudinal furrow medially on the snout is absent; snout short (SO/HL 0.40), longer than the orbit.
A new species of Bent-toed gecko (Squamata: Gekkonidae: Cyrtodactylus Gray, 1827) in north-east India

diameter (OD/SO 0.72); scales on the dorsal surfaces of the head, eyelids and snout are primarily homogeneous in size and shape, granular, longitudinally oval to circular, juxtaposed, those on the snout largest; granular scales on the occipital region and the rear of the head intermixed with sparse larger, rounded, bluntly pointed tubercles, smallest and sparse dorsally on the occipital region, increasing in size laterally over the occipital region and posteriorly onto the nape where they are three times larger than adjacent granules; enlarged tubercles are absent on the parietal and frontal regions of the head; orbits are moderately large (OD/HL 0.29); pupils vertical with crenulated margin; supraciliaries large, mucronate, largest bluntly pointed, smaller posterior supraciliaries sharply pointed and protruding laterally, decreasing in size towards the posterior and anterior end of the orbits, largest about one-third the way from the anterior edge of the orbits; a row of three slightly enlarged blunt tubercles parallel to the supraciliaries on the anterior outer upper eyelids; ear openings oval, obliquely orientated, small (EL/HL 0.07); orbit to ear distance is almost equal to the orbit diameter (OE/OD 0.98); rostral is wider than deep, divided dorsally to ca. half of the rostral depth by a weak rostral groove; a single enlarged supranasals on either side, separated by two small granular internasals; rostral is in contact with first supralabials, nasals, supranasals and two internasals; nostrils oval, openings directed postero-laterally, posterior half of the nasal is covered by the nasal pad, each nasal in broad contact with the rostral and surrounded by the supranasals, first supralabial, and four/five (left/right side) small postnasals; mental is wider than long, triangular; two well-developed postmentals on either side, inner pair in broad contact behind the mental, less than twice the size of and separating the outer pair from each other; inner postmentals are bordered by the mental, first infralabials, outer postmentals and three slightly enlarged gular scales; outer postmentals are bordered by the inner postmental, first and second infralabials, three slightly enlarged and two much enlarged gular scales, the largest positioned laterally; supralabials (to the midorbital position), eight on each side; nine/eight (left/right) supralabials to the angle of the jaw; nine/eight (left/right) infralabials, second to fifth infralabials are bordered by one or two rows of enlarged scales that increase in size anteriorly; gular scales are mostly small, granular, smooth, juxtaposed, homogeneous in size except those mentioned above and where they increase in size posteriorly on the throat becoming more imbricate.

Dorsal scales on the body are heterogeneous, mostly small rounded granular scales, intermixed with irregularly arranged, enlarged bluntly conical tubercles which are smooth to weakly keeled dorsally, becoming more conical and slightly smaller laterally towards the lower flanks; dorsal tubercles extend from the nape onto the tail base; tubercles on the nape are smaller than those of the dorsum, largest on the posterior dorsolateral region where they are ca. five times the size of adjacent granular scales; ca. 23 dorsal tubercles across the mid-dorsum; ca. 32 paravertebral tubercles between the axilla and the groin, ca. 47 from the nape to the mid sacral region; slightly enlarged tubercles separated by small scales on the ventrolateral folds, tubercles rounded (not pointed), ventrolateral folds weak; ventral scales smooth, cycloid, imbricate to subimbricate, much larger than dorsal scales; ca. 30 ventral scales between ventrolateral folds at mid-trunk; ten pit-bearing (without true pore) precloacal scales in a continuous shallow “V”-shaped series, pit-bearing scales slightly larger than the anteriorly contacting ventral scales; one row of slightly enlarged scales between the precloacal pit-bearing scale series and the cloacal opening, largest at the apex of the “V”-shaped pit-bearing scale series and ca. 1.5 times larger than the adjacent pit-bearing scales; precloacal groove absent; two large well developed eggs are visible through the abdominal skin.

Fore and hind limbs slender (FL/SVL 0.16, CL/SVL 0.17); digits strongly inflected at each joint, all bearing a robust recurved claw; enlarged subdigital lamellae not scansorial, proximal(granular)distal lamellae formula for the right manus unless stated otherwise as follows: FILam 3(3)/6 (taken on the left side as FILam on the right side is incomplete), FILam 4(4)/7, FIIILam 5(3)/11, FIVlam 6(5)/8, FVlam 5(2)/9, proximal(granular)distal lamellae formula for the right pes as follows: TILam 4(4)/7, TIIILam 5(3)/9, TIIILam 5(0)/14, TVlam 8(0)/14, TVlam 6(1)/12; interdigital webbing is absent on the manus and pes; relative length of the digits: I < V < II < IV < III on the right manus, I < II < III < V < IV on the right pes; scales on the palms and soles smooth, raised, juxtaposed to subimbricate; scales on the forelimbs are heterogeneous in size and shape, the dorsal and ventral surfaces of the upper arms and the ventral surface of the forearms are covered with small granular, subimbricate to juxtaposed scales, scales on the dorsum of the forearms are more heterogeneous in size and intermixed with a few slightly enlarged blunt, scattered tubercules, grading to smooth, flat, imbricate scales on the lower dorsum of the forearms; scales on the hind limbs are heterogeneous in size and shape, dorsal part of the thighs and shanks with small, blunt granular scales intermixed with scattered, enlarged, bluntly conical, smooth tubercles, equally dense on the shanks and the thighs; preaxial portion of the thighs and shanks with small, blunt granular scales intermixed with scattered, enlarged femoral pore and enlarged femoral scale series are absent.

Tail original, complete, slightly dorsoventrally depressed (TD/TW 0.93), slender, tapering; caudal segments are barely distinct proximally, indistinct distally; a few enlarged, flat and distinctly keeled tubercles are randomly scattered on the first three segments of the tail, remaining dorsal caudal scales smooth, flat, heterogeneous in size and shape, subimbricate, smallest middorsally, becoming larger on the lateral aspect; subcaudal scales smooth, subimbricate and heterogeneous in size, distinctly larger than dorsal scales, no enlarged transverse plates or distinct series of enlarged paired subcaudal scales; the second tail
Figure 4. Cyrtodactylus bapme sp. nov. adult female holotype (BNHS 2752) in preservation: A. dorsal view; B. ventral view; C. details of dorsal pholidosis; D. dorsal view of head; E. ventral view of head; F. lateral view of head. A and B to scale; D–F to scale. Scale bar is 10 mm.
segment with eight rows of scales dorsally reduced to four rows ventrally; four small, smooth postcloacal spurs on each side of the tail base.

Coloration in life (Fig. 3E, F): Dorsal and lateral surfaces of the head primarily light brown, densely mottled with dark brown, with pale greyish-brown supralabials and a dark brown rostral; anterior supraciliaries yellowish-brown becoming dark brown posteriorly; iris light grey with a brown venous pattern, pupil with a light grey border; dorsal and lateral surfaces of the neck and trunk are primarily light grey with nine pairs of dark brown blotches that are parallel anteriorly, becoming phase-shifted posteriorly; flanks with dark brown mottling; dorsal and lateral surfaces of the forelimbs and hind limbs mottled light grey and brown; tail, dorsally and laterally with ten contrasting dark brown and light grey transverse bands, light bands approximately half as wide as dark bands; entire ventral surface of the head, body and limbs white; ventral surfaces of the manus and pes are light greyish-brown to yellowish-brown; ventral surface of the tail primarily whitish proximally with brown mottling, becoming darker distally where the dark and light bands are distinct. Coloration in preservative (Fig. 4): generally similar to the coloration in life though less vibrant; ventrally the white in life darkened to pale brown, darker laterally on the abdomen and on the ventral surfaces of the manus and pes; iris dark grey; yellow tones on the supraciliaries faded to light brown.

Variation. Refer to Table 2 for morphometric and basic pholidosis variation within the type series of *Cyrtodactylus bapme* sp. nov., comprising four adult females. Additional details are given here for one unsexed juvenile paratype (BNHS 2756; Fig. 3C). The paratypes morphologically correspond with the holotype description, but with the following exceptions:

- Head: 8–12 supralabials and 8–10 infralabials (Table 2); number of small gular scales posteriorly bordering the inner postmentals varies by individual: one or two granular scales on BNHS 2753 and BNHS 2755, and four on BNHS 2754; four or five small to large gular scales posteriorly border the outer postmentals on the paratypes; a narrow weakly developed longitudinal furrow is present medially on the snout of BNHS 2753, BNHS 2754 and BNHS 2755; internasals vary by number and size: one large internasal on BNHS 2753 and BNHS 2755, and three small granular internasals on BNHS 2754; rostral suture is ca. 60 % of the

---

Figure 5. *Cyrtodactylus bapme* sp. nov. adult female paratypes in preservation from Meghalaya State, north-east India, showing variation of colour and pattern: A. BNHS 2753 from Daribokgre, East Garo Hills District; B. BNHS 2755 from Tura Peak Reserved Forest, West Garo Hills District; C. BNHS 2754 from Jangrapara, West Garo Hills District. Scale bar is 10 mm.
rostral depth on BNHS 2754.

Tubercles: unlike the holotype, three paratypes (BNHS 2753, BNHS 2754, BNHS 2755) possess small densely packed tubercles on the parietal region; dorsal tubercle rows range 21–24, and the number of paravertebral tubercles range ca. 32–37 between the level of the axilla and the level of the groin (Table 2); tubercles on BNHS 2754 are mostly conical on the body and limbs, with some weakly keeled on the posterior dorsum of the body; on BNHS 2755, tubercles are mostly conical on the anterior body and shanks, those on the mid-body and thighs have a weak median keel, those on the sacral region are more strongly keeled; some shank and thigh tubercles have a weak keel on BNHS 2753; largest dorsal tubercles are up to six times larger than the adjacent granular scale size on BNHS 2754; tubercles in the ventrolateral fold are bluntly spinose on BNHS 2754; dorsum of the forearm of BNHS 2754 with more dense tubercles than on the holotype; a row of slightly enlarged blunt tubercles run parallel to the supraciliaries on the anterior outer upper eyelids, varying as follows: the row absent on BNHS 2753, four or five tubercles in a row on BNHS 2754, and three or four tubercles in a row on BNHS 2755; post cloacal tubercle number varies as follows: three left and three right on BNHS 2753 and BNHS 2754, and four left and four right on BNHS 2755 (as on the holotype).

Body: ventrolateral folds are weakly defined on all specimens except on BNHS 2753 where the folds appear to be absent (possibly due to being heavily gravid); number of pit-bearing scales in the precloacal series varies from none to 13 (Table 2); the largest scale between the precloacal pit-bearing scale series and the cloacal opening varies as follows: ca. 1.3 times larger than adjacent pit-bearing scale size on BNHS 2753, ca. 1.5 times larger on BNHS 2755, and two times larger on the holotype; midventral scale rows vary from 30 to 39 (Table 2); numbers of subdigital lamellae and presence/absence of non-lamellar scales between the proximal and distal portion of the digits varies considerably by individual (see Table 2).

Tail: second tail segment with nine transverse scale rows dorsally reduced to four ventrally on BNHS 2754; tubercles also extend to the third caudal segment on BNHS 2754 (as on the holotype); original tail is absent on BNHS 2753 and BNHS 2755.

Coloration and markings (Figs. 3–5): BNHS 2754, BNHS 2755 and BNHS 2756 have approximately nine pairs of dark brown dorsal blotches (as on the holotype), whereas BNHS 2753 has seven pairs; dark brown dorsal blotches are not phase-shifted on either side of the vertebral line on BNHS 2753, BNHS 2754, BNHS 2755 and BNHS 2756; complete original tail of BNHS 2756 has 12 dark brown blotches/bands, with alternating light and dark blotches/bands less distinct proximally, light bands significantly narrower than dark bands proximally, but almost equal amount in width distally; regenerated tail portion of BNHS 2754, BNHS 2755 and BNHS 2753 is dark greyish-brown; in life, iris coloration varies from grey to greenish-grey; pupil with an orange border in BNHS 2754 in life; see Figures 3–5 for additional variation in colour and pattern between the holotype and paratypes.

Comparisons. *Cyrtodactylus bapme* sp. nov. is here compared with all known members of the *khasiensis* clade within the *khasiensis* group of *Cyrtodactylus*, including species not yet assigned to any clade due to the absence of available molecular data, i.e., *C. cayuensis*, *C. martinstollii*, *C. markuscombaii*, *C. himalayicus*, *C. tomaiensis* (see discussion in Mahony & Kamei, in review).

*Cyrtodactylus bapme* sp. nov. can be diagnosed from *C. ayeyarwadyensis* by having dark dorsal spots not bordered posteriorly by white punctuations or a narrow white band, N=5 (vs. “usually” bordered posteriorly by white punctuations or a narrow white band: Bauer, 2003), four subcaudal scale rows per segment on the original tail, N=4 (vs. six on the holotype—variation not given by Bauer, 2003); from *C. cayuensis* by possessing up to 13 pit-bearing scales in the precloacal scale series in females indicating the likely minimum number of precloacal pores on males (vs. 6–9 PPs in males, N=9: Li, 2007), the largest scale at the apex of the precloacal pit-bearing scale series is between 1.3 and two times larger than the pit-bearing scales, N=4 (vs. ca. 3 times larger than the pore-bearing scales on the holotype: determined from Li, 2007: fig. 3); from *C. guwahattensis* by having a larger maximum adult body size, SVL 77.0 mm, N=4 (vs. max. 70.5 mm, N=8: Agarwal et al., 2018c; Purkayastha et al., 2020a), dark dorsal spots not bordered posteriorly by white punctuations or a narrow white band, N=5 (vs. bordered posteriorly by white punctuations on the holotype and other referred specimens: Agarwal et al., 2018c; Purkayastha et al., 2020a; Purkayastha pers. comm., 2020); from *C. himalayicus* by having a larger maximum adult body size, SVL 77.0 mm, N=4 (vs. 64.5 mm, N=2: Agarwal et al., 2018b; examined specimens), weak ventrolateral folds (vs. absent: Agarwal et al., 2018b; examined specimens), the largest scale at the apex of the precloacal pit-bearing scale series is between 1.3 and two times larger than the pit-bearing scales, N=4 (vs. ca. 3 times larger than the pore-bearing scales, N=2: Agarwal et al., 2018b; examined specimens), elevational distribution limit of 90–1,015 m asl. (vs. ca. 1,525–2,130 m asl.: Agarwal et al., 2018b; Gopaldhara Tea Estate website, accessed 24/06/2020); from *C. kazirangaensis* by having tubercles extending to the third segment of the tail, N=3 (vs. tubercles not extending beyond the first segment of the tail, N=2: Agarwal et al., 2018c), dorsal body pattern with 7–9 pairs of dark brown blotches, N=5 (vs. dorsal pattern composed of six or seven irregular light and dark crossbars, N=3: Agarwal et al., 2018c), largest dorsal tubercles ca. 4–6 times larger than adjacent granular scales, N=4 (vs. approximately three times larger than adjacent granular scales on the holotype: determined from Agarwal et al., 2018c: fig. 7c—variation for paratypes not given); from *C. khasiensis* by having distinctly enlarged scales between the pit-bearing precloacal scale series and the cloaca, N=4 (vs. not enlarged relative to pore-bearing scales, N=6: examined specimens), a relatively wider mental scale, ML/MW ratio 0.62–0.74, N=4 (vs. 0.77–0.96, N=4: examined specimens); from *C. mandalayensis* by having a greater number of dorsal tubercle rows,
21–24 DTR, N=4 (vs. 18, N=1: Mahony, 2009; examined specimen), by possessing up to 13 pit-bearing scales in the precloacal scale series in females indicating the likely minimum number of precloacal pores on males, N=4 (vs. minimum 5+1 [possibly up to eight] pores in the PcP series, N=1: Mahony, 2009; examined specimen); from C. markuscombaii by possessing up to 13 pit-bearing scales in the precloacal scale series in females indicating the likely minimum number of precloacal pores on males, N=4 (vs. seven pore-bearing scales on the only known male, N=1, condition in females unknown: Darevsky et al., 1998), dorsal body pattern with 7–9 pairs of dark brown blotches, N=4 (vs. irregular transverse dark brown bands, N=2: Darevsky et al., 1998), elevational distribution limit of 90–1015 m asl. (vs. elevation 1200–1300 m asl.: Darevsky et al., 1998); from C. martinstollii by possessing up to 13 pit-bearing scales in the precloacal scale series in females indicating the likely minimum number of precloacal pores on males, N=4 (vs. 0–8 PcP on males, N=18, condition in females unknown: Darevsky et al., 1998), dorsal body pattern with 7–9 pairs of dark brown blotches, N=4 (vs. densely mottled, ca. 10–11 paired blotches when distinguishable, N=4: determined from Darevsky et al., 1998: Fig. 1), elevational distribution limit of 90–1015 m asl. (vs. 1200–1300 m asl.: Darevsky et al., 1998); from C. septentrionalis by having a larger maximum adult body size, SVL 77.0 mm, N=4 (vs. max. 65.2 mm, N=2: Agarwal et al., 2018c), fewer paravertebral tubercles between the level of the axilla and the level of the groin, 32–37 PVT2, N=4 (vs. 38–42 PVT2, N=2: Agarwal et al., 2018c); from C. tamaiensis by having a smaller maximum adult body size, SVL 77.0 mm, N=4 (vs. 90.0 mm, N=1: Mahony, 2009; examined specimen), dorsal tubercles extend posteriorly onto the third segment of the tail, N=3 (vs. to the fourth segment, N=1: Mahony, 2009; examined specimen); from C. tripuraensis by having a larger maximum adult body size, SVL 77.0 mm, N=4 (vs. max. 70.7 mm, N=11: Agarwal et al., 2018b), pit-bearing precloacal scale series in females comprises 0–13 scales, N=4 (vs. 19–29 pit-bearing scales or PcP in the precloacal scale series of females, N=4: Agarwal et al., 2018c), typically higher number of dorsal tubercle rows, 21–24, N=4 (vs. 19–21, N=11: Agarwal et al., 2018c); from C. urbanus by having fewer apical subdigital lamellae on first finger, 4–6 (vs. 7–9, N=5: Purkayastha pers. comm., 2020).

**Distribution and Natural History.** This species is currently known from multiple low to mid elevation (90–1015 m asl) localities in the East and West Garo Hills districts (Fig. 1), of which the upper limits extend the maximum known elevation of the khasiensis clade from 900 m asl for C. khasiensis (Agarwal et al., 2018b). Comparing with what is known of other north-east Indian *Cyrtodactylus* species, besides *C. tripuraensis* which has been reported from several localities in Tripura State (Agarwal et al., 2018b) and adjacent Bangladesh (Al-Razi et al., 2018), and *C. arunachalensis* with a known distribution extending ca. 350 km along the Brahmaputra Valley (Mirza et al., 2021), *Cyrtodactylus bapme* sp. nov. is the third most widespread species of this genus in north-east India. All other north-east Indian *Cyrtodactylus* species are currently confirmed from only one or two localities (Agarwal et al., 2018b, 2018c; Purkayastha et al., 2020a, 2020c; herein).

BNHS 2755 was collected from a boulder on the bank of the Nengsandra dare (ca. 20:30 hours), an ephemeral stream in Nikrang A’ding near a trail towards Tura Peak (Tura Peak Reserved Forest). During other years surveyed (between 2008 and 2011), this stream becomes torrential in June which is the peak of south-west summer monsoon in north-east India. However, in 2014 it was relatively dry exposing more of the large boulders along the stream bank. The stream is surrounded on both sides by secondary forests with fairly dense undergrowth vegetation. BNHS 2754 and BNHS 2756 were collected from an indigenous agroforestry system called paan jhum (a practice of cultivating betel vine, *Piper betle* L.: e.g., Rahman et al., 2009; Nandy & Das, 2013) at around 20:00 hours on a rainless night. BNHS 2754 was spotted by eyeshine from the trunk of an areca nut tree (or betel palm tree, *Areca catechu* L.) at approximately two metres above ground level. The jhum had broad-leaved trees including jackfruit tree (*Artocarpus heterophyllus* Lam.) which is extensively grown in the West Garo Hills District (Phaomei & Mathew, 2019), and had a few fallen tree trunks and sparse herbaceous undergrowth. BNHS 2752, BNHS 2753 and BNHS 2755 each contain two large well developed eggs visible through the abdominal skin (e.g., Fig. 3D, F) indicating that egg-laying occurs during the monsoon season.

**Etymology.** The specific epithet “bapme” is derived from the word for “gecko” in the Garo language of the A’chik Mande (“Garo people”), the tribe indigenous to the region where the species occurs. The name is treated as a noun in apposition.

**Suggested Common name.** Garo Hills bent-toed gecko.

**Cyrtodactylus urbanus** distribution and morphological variation.

*Cyrtodactylus urbanus* was recently described based on a type series comprising seven specimens collected from “Basistha, Guwahati, Assam State, India (26.106301°N, 91.787199°E), 106 m elevation above sea level” (Purkayastha et al., 2020a). The authors stated in the distribution section that the type series was collected “from around Basistha Temple”, however, the GPS coordinates plotted in Google Maps (accessed 17/01/2021) place the type locality within the relatively more developed suburb of Basistha, ca. 1.3 km north of Basistha Temple. The authors did not provide the district name in the type locality, although it was mentioned elsewhere in the table of sequences as “Kamrup (M) district” (Purkayastha et al., 2020a: table 1). We have subsequently confirmed that the holotype was collected from the Basistha Temple grounds (Purkayastha pers. comm., 27/03/2021) so we suggest the following revision of the type locality of *C. urbanus*: “Basistha Temple, Guwahati city, Kamrup Metropolitan District, Assam State, India (26.094846, 91.784545), 106 m elevation above sea level”. Basistha Temple is situated on the border of Garbhanga Reserve Forest where the presence of *C. urbanus* is expected in contiguous
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Purkayastha et al. (2020c) reported a range extension for
C. urbanus into Meghalaya State, at Nongpoh
(“25.9081°N, 91.8543°E”), Nongpoh Subdivision of Ri
Bhoi District, less than 25 km straight distance from the
type locality; the map in Purkayastha et al. (2020c: Fig. 2) erroneously depicted this locality a further ca. 30 km
south of the coordinates they provided (see Fig. 1 for
corrected distribution). The elevation (not mentioned in
Purkayastha et al., 2020c) at this GPS location is ca. 680–700 m asl. (assessed on Google Maps), revising the
corrected elevational range of the species to 100–700 m
asl. The authors identified the single female specimen based on a comparison of its nd2 gene sequence with
congeners (p-distance 0.7–0.9 % from C. urbanus from
the type locality), but provided no morphological details. We
herein report the third confirmed locality for C. urbanus
based on a single subadult male specimen (BNHS 2852,
field number RGK 0554) collected from Saiden Village
(25.880219, 91.887277, 565 m asl.), Nongpoh Subdivision
in Ri Bhoi District, Meghalaya State. This third locality
lies ca. five kilometres south-east of Purkayastha et al.’s
(2020c) Nongpoh locality, and ca. 26 km south-east of the
type locality (Basistha Temple). The Ri Bhoi localities
are adjacent to the Nongkhyllem Wildlife Sanctuary (29
km²) within the Nongkhyllem Reserve Forest (125.91
km²) and Umsaw Reserve Forest (0.44 km²); both are
provided state-level protection by the Meghalaya Forest
meeforest.gov.in/). Mathew (1995) listed two specimens
(specimen numbers not given) identified as C. khasiensis
in the collection of NERC/ZSI from “Umtham” Village
and “Barapani” (“15–20 km south of Saiden”) in Ri Bhoi
District (localities erroneously reported to be in the East
Khali Hills District), Meghalaya. These localities are ca.
80 m higher in elevation than the Nongpoh population
and are situated in contiguous habitat so may represent
additional distribution records for C. urbanus. We
recommend that these two specimens be re-examined
to determine their species-level identifications.

Besides providing standard measurements and basic
meristics for the type series of C. urbanus, Purkayastha et
al. (2020a) did not provide any morphological variation
section to accompany the description of the holotype. In
the absence of a detailed morphological variation section
for a given species, it is not possible to determine how
much of a holotype description represents taxonomically
relevant morphological characters for that species; holotypes are often arbitrarily selected specimens that
do not necessarily show “typical” or representative
morphological characters for the species. A detailed
comparison of the newly collected specimen (BNHS 2852)
with the holotype description of Purkayastha et al.
(2020a), and characters mentioned elsewhere in the
paper (or visible in figures) for the type series
demonstrates that the newly collected specimen expands
the known morphological variation of the species, as
follows: 1) granular scales are intermixed with a few
small tubercles on the parietal region of the head,
increasing in size posteriorly and laterally (vs. “scales on
interorbital and occipital regions heterogeneous, without
distinct tubercles” on the holotype); 2) nostrils oval (vs.
“nostrils semicircular” on the holotype); 3) inner
postmental pair less than twice the size (1.3 mm) of the
outer postmental pair (0.8 mm) (vs. “inner [postmental]
pair more than twice the size (2.6 mm) of and separating
outer [postmental] pair (1.0 mm)” on the holotype); 4)
few distinctly pointed tubercles on the ventrolateral
folds (vs. “enlarged, smooth tubercles” on the holotype);
5) dorsal tubercles mostly conical to bluntly pointed on the
body and limbs, without a median keel (vs. “bluntly
conical and feebly keeled throughout” on the holotype);
6) original tail is dorsoventrally depressed (vs. “Tail
original, … rounded in cross-section” on the holotype); 7)
subcaudal scales enlarged and heterogeneous in size, do
not form a distinct midventral series of paired scales (vs.
“subcaudal scales … with midventral series of paired
scales” on the holotype); 8) no distinct light-coloured
middorsal stripe (vs. “a mid-dorsal cream coloured line
divides the trunk and extends posteriorly to the level of
the cloaca” on the holotype); 9) 11 supralabials on the
right side, 12 on the left side (vs. 9–11 according to the
species’ “Diagnosis” section, however, this contrasts with
counts provided elsewhere in the paper, i.e., 8–11 in the
type series, N=7, according to table 4); 10) ten infralabials
on the right side, 11 on the left side (vs. 8–10 in the type
series, N=7); 11) DTR 20 (vs. 21–24 according to the
species’ “Diagnosis” section, however, this contrasts with
counts provided elsewhere in the paper, i.e., 22–24 in
the type series, N=7, according to tables 4 & 5); 12) MVSR
“39 (vs. 30–34 in the type series, N=7); 13) PcP 13 (vs.
9–12 on males in the type series, N=4); 14) scale row
posteriorly bordering the PcP row is slightly enlarged
relative to the pore-bearing scales (vs. “no enlarged
scales between precloacal pores and vent” on the
holotype, however, their fig. 4B depicting the PcP region
of the holotype shows that the scale row posteriorly
bordering the PcP row is distinctly enlarged relative to
pore bearing scales and conflicting information was given
in the species’ “Diagnosis” stating “a row of enlarged
scales above and below precloacal pore-bearing scales,
slightly larger than pore-bearing scales”); 15) 13 dark
brown transverse bands on the complete original tail (vs.
“approximately 14 dark bands” stated in the species’
comparison section, however, their figures 5 & 8 show
that the type series have 13 or 14 dark bands on the
original tails, N=5; however, the specimen reported in
Purkayastha et al. [2020c: fig. 1] from Nongpoh has 11 dark bands on a complete original tail, so the known range for the species is now 11–14 dark bands on a complete original tail); 16) in life, iris dark green with brown reticulations and orange pupil border on the Saiden specimen, and emerald green with brown reticulations and light brown pupil border on the Basistha uncollected individual (Fig. 6) (vs. “Iris in life silver-grey with brown reticulations”); 17) total TIVLam 17 (vs. 19–21 on the type series, N=7 according to table 4 and the “comparisons” section, however, conflicting information is given in the holotype description that stated 18 TIVLam [7 basal + 11 distal lamellae], and the species’ “Diagnosis” section gave the ranges 6–7 basal and 10–12 distal lamellae, thus a maximum of 19 TIVLam in the species); 18) total FIVLam 15 (vs. 16–19 on the type series, N=7 according to table 4, however, conflicting information is given in the holotype description that stated 15 FIVLam [6 basal + 9 distal lamellae], and the species’ “Diagnosis” section gave the ranges 5–6 basal and 8–10 distal lamellae, thus a maximum of 16 FIVLam in the species). The “Materials & Methods” section stated that the basal and apical lamellae series were counted separately, but did not mention whether non-lamellar scales between the basal and apical series were counted as apical lamellae in total counts, and the authors only provided the separate (basal and apical) counts for the holotype. We suggest that the authors of Purkayastha et al. (2020a) publish a table giving separate basal and apical lamellae counts, and intervening non-lamellar scale counts for all specimens in the type series to resolve this confusion; 19) PVT2 ca. 30 counted between the level of the axilla and the level of the groin (vs. PVT count for the holotype was reported in the holotype description as “45”, but in table 4 as “38”). Three different PVT ranges were given for the species, i.e., “38–45” in the species’ “Definition” section, “38–40” in the comparison section with C. khiasiensis, and then “37–40” in tables 4 and 5). Purkayastha et al. (2020a) stated in the “Materials & Methods” section that PVT counts were made as follows: “PVT, para vertebral tubercles (PVT, counted from the most anterior tubercle on the occiput to mid-sacrum)”, however, the close-up figure of the trunk of the holotype of C. urbanus (Purkayastha et al., 2020a: fig. 5C) has sufficient resolution to count ca. 38 PVT between the level of the forelimb and hind limb insertions (a common alternative method for counting PVT in some studies: see Discussion in Mahony & Kamei, in review). The authors are encouraged to clarify which numbers are correct for the PVT counts and accurately describe how the count was taken; 20) postcloacal tubercles, three on the left side, the region where spurs are generally found is damaged on the right side (vs. the presence and number of postcloacal spurs is not mentioned in Purkayastha et al., 2020). Purkayastha et al. (2020a: figs. 5 & 8) show three postcloacal spurs are visible on the left and right sides of the holotype, and in their figure 8, three are visible on the right side of paratypes VR/ERS/ZSI 685 and VR/ERS/ZSI 687, and three are visible on the left side of paratype VR/ERS/ZSI 686, demonstrating that males of this species typically possess at least three spurs on each side. Postcloacal spurs are not visible/discernible on the three female paratypes in their figure 8 (VR/ERS/ZSI 684, VR/ERS/ZSI 688, VR/ERS/ZSI 689), however, unpublished images provided to us (Purkayastha pers. comm., 2020) show three spurs of considerably reduced size (compared to males) are present on the three female specimens; 21) The presence or absence of PcP on females of C. urbanus is not clear from Purkayastha et al. (2020a) as it is not explicitly mentioned in the text. In the character table

Figure 6. Cyrtodactylus urbanus in life: A–B. subadult male (BNHS 2852) from Saiden, Ri Bhoi District, Meghalaya State, north-east India; C–D. gravid adult female (not collected) from the type locality near Basistha Temple, Guwahati Metropolitan District, Assam State, north-east India. Images A–B taken ex-situ, C–D taken in-situ.
Until now, published reports of Cyrtodactylus species from the Garo Hills include the following: C. *khasiensis* (*"VR/ERS/ZSI/71"*—specimen not examined in this study) from Baghmara Reserve Forest, South Garo Hills District (Mathew, 2010); C. *"khasiensis"* (no reported specimen voucher) from Balphakram National Park, South Garo Hills District (Pawar & Birand, 2001); C. *"khasiensis"* (one specimen presumably in NERC/ZSI, voucher number not given) from Songsak, Williamnagar, East Garo Hills District (Mathew, 1995). At the time of those reports, the large-scale hidden diversity within the north-east Indian *Cyrtodactylus* was not known (Agarwal et al., 2014) and all populations superficially similar to *C. khasiensis* were lumped under this catch-all name. We suggest that these specimens be re-examined and compared with *Cyrtodactylus bapme* sp. nov., since current molecular sampling suggests that *C. khasiensis* sensu stricto should be considered as a Khasi Hills endemic species (e.g., Agarwal et al., 2014, 2018b, 2018c).

**DISCUSSION**

With regards to herpetofaunal diversity, Meghalaya State is historically the most well surveyed of the hilly states in north-east India. The past two decades has seen a growing number of new species of reptiles and amphibians being described from the state (e.g., Das et al., 2010; Mahony et al., 2011, 2013, 2018, 2020; Purkayastha & Matsui, 2012; Datta-Roy et al., 2013; Kamei et al., 2013; Agarwal et al., 2018c) and the taxonomic status of other poorly known species being revised based on newly collected material (e.g., Mahony, 2008; Biju et al., 2016; Kamei & Biju, 2016; Agarwal et al., 2018b; Mahony et al., 2018, 2020; Giri et al., 2019a, 2019b). The Garo Hills, however, are still relatively poorly surveyed. Few studies highlighting the region’s herpetofaunal diversity have been published (e.g., Pillai & Chanda, 1981; Mathew, 2010; Sen & Mathew, 2010; Mathew & Meetei, 2013; Sen et al., 2013; Sangma & Saikia, 2014a, 2014b, 2015); however, several of these papers must be dealt with critically as they report several species misidentifications—e.g., Sangma and Saikia (2014a) reported *Hemidactylus flaviviridis* Rüppell, 1835, for the first time from Meghalaya giving figures (Sangma & Saikia, 2014a: fig. 4) of a specimen clearly identifiable as *H. platyurus* (Schneider, 1792), and *H. "garnooti"* (sic.—*H. garnoti* Duméril & Bibron, 1836) for the first time from the Garo Hills giving a figure (Sangma & Saikia, 2014a: fig. 5) of specimens clearly identifiable as *H. frenatus* Duméril and Bibron, 1836; Sangma and Saikia (2014b) reported *Oligodon nikhili* Whitaker and Dattatri, 1982 (otherwise known only from the type locality in south India) and *O. keriensis* Acharji and Ray, 1936, based on photographed specimens without giving diagnostic characters that allow an accurate identification of either species; figured specimens (Sangma & Saikia, 2014b: fig. 1) appear superficially similar to *O. cyclurus* (Cantor, 1839) and *O. cinereus* ( Günther, 1864) (respectively), both of which are known from neighbouring regions.

The previous section has highlighted a considerable number of errors, inconsistencies and ambiguities in the description of morphological characters between the “Diagnosis and comparison with regional congeners” section, the holotype description, and meristic counts given in tables in Purkayastha et al. (2020a). These issues urgently require clarification by the original authors of Purkayastha et al. (2020a) in the form of a published erratum to enable the correct diagnosis of further new species awaiting description in north-east India, and to prevent the potential misidentification of additional populations of *C. urbanus* for which molecular data are not available.
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APPENDIX I

Comparative specimens examined.

*Cyrtodactylus himalayicus*: holotype: male (ZSIK 15716), from “Kurseong, Darjeeling Dist. (5,000 ft)” [=Kurseong Subdivision (ca. 1524 m asl.), Darjeeling District, West Bengal State, India]; referred material: adult female (ZSIK 19546), from “Gopaldhara, Darjeling Dist.” [=Gopaldhara Tea Estate, near Mirik Town, Darjeeling District, West Bengal State, India].

*Cyrtodactylus khasiensis*: lectotype: adult female (BMNH 1906.8.10.4) from Khasi Hills, Meghalaya, India; paralectotypes: adult female (ZSIK 6199), adult male (ZSIK 6197), collected along with lectotype; referred material: adult males (ZSIK 5831, ZSIK 5832), adult female (ZSIK 5828), from “Cherrapunjee” [now Sohra Town, East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya State, India].

*Cyrtodactylus mandalayensis*: holotype: subadult male (BMNH 1900.9.20.1) from Mogok, Pyin Oo Lwin District, Mandalay Region, Myanmar.

*Cyrtodactylus tamaiensis*: holotype: adult male (BMNH 1946.823.22), from “Pangnamdim, Nam Tamai Valley, Burma” [Pangnamdim, Kachin State, Myanmar].
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